DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: BLUE SHARK

Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien
iotc ctoi

Status of the Indian Ocean blue shark (BSH: *Prionace glauca*)

TABLE 1. Blue shark: Status of blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) in the Indian Ocean.

Area[1]	Indicators		2016 stock status determination
Indian Ocean	Reported catch 2015:	30,054 t	
	Not elsewhere included (nei) sharks[2] 2015:	57,125 t	
	Average reported catch 2011–15:	29,535 t	
	Ave. not elsewhere included (nei) sharks[2] 2011–15:	49,785 t	
	MSY (1,000 t) (80% CI):	Unknown	
	F_{MSY} (80% CI):	Unknown	
	SB_{MSY} (1,000 t) (80% CI):	Unknown	
	F_{2014}/F_{MSY} (range):	(0.44–4.84)[3]	
	SB_{2014}/SB_{MSY} (range):	(0.83–1.75)[3]	
	SB_{2014}/SB_0 (range):	Unknown	

[1]Boundaries for the Indian Ocean = IOTC area of competence

[2]Includes all other shark catches reported to the IOTC Secretariat, which may contain this species (i.e., SHK: sharks various nei; RSK: requiem sharks nei).

Colour key	Stock overfished($SB_{year}/SB_{MSY} < 1$)	Stock not overfished ($SB_{year}/SB_{MSY} \geq 1$)
Stock subject to overfishing($F_{year}/F_{MSY} > 1$)		
Stock not subject to overfishing ($F_{year}/F_{MSY} \leq 1$)		
Not assessed/Uncertain		

TABLE 2. Blue shark: IUCN threat status of blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) in the Indian Ocean.

Common name	Scientific name	IUCN threat status[3]		
		Global status	WIO	EIO
Blue shark	*Prionace glauca*	Near Threatened	–	–

The process of the threat assessment from IUCN is independent from the IOTC and is presented for information purpose only IUCN = International Union for Conservation of Nature; WIO = Western Indian Ocean; EIO = Eastern Indian Ocean
Sources:IUCN 2007, Stevens 2009

INDIAN OCEAN STOCK – MANAGEMENT ADVICE

Stock status. There remains considerable uncertainty about the relationship between abundance, CPUE series and total catches over the past decade (Table 1). Three stock assessment models were applied to the blue shark resource in 2015 (Fig. 1). Two models (SS3 and SRA) produced similar results suggesting the stock is currently subject to overfishing, but not yet overfished, while a third model (BSSPM) suggest the stock was close to MSY levels, but not yet subject to overfishing A best case model could not be selected and so the results represented the range of plausible model runs. The ecological risk assessment (ERA) conducted for the Indian Ocean by the WPEB and SC in 2012 (IOTC–2012–SC15–INF10 Rev_1) consisted of a semi-quantitative risk assessment analysis to evaluate the resilience of shark species to the impact of a given fishery, by combining the biological productivity of the species and its susceptibility to each fishing gear type. Blue sharks received a medium vulnerability ranking (No. 10) in the ERA rank for longline gear because it was estimated as the most productive shark species, but was also characterised by the second highest susceptibility to longline gear. Blue shark was estimated as not being susceptible thus not vulnerable to purse seine gear. The current IUCN threat status of 'Near Threatened' applies to blue sharks globally (Table 2). Information available on this species has been improving in recent years. Blue sharks are commonly taken by a range of fisheries

in the Indian Ocean and in some areas they are fished in their nursery grounds. Because of their life history characteristics – they are relatively long lived (20–25 years), mature relatively late (at 4–6 years), and have relativity few offspring (25–50 pups every year), the blue shark is vulnerable to overfishing. However, blue shark assessments in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans seem to indicate that blue shark stocks can sustain relatively high fishing pressure. On the weight-of-evidence available in 2015, the stock status is determined to be **uncertain** (Table 1). However, total catches of this species should not exceed 2014 levels, while efforts are made to further evaluate stock status.

*Outlook.*Increasing effort could result in declines in biomass. The impact of piracy in the western Indian Ocean has resulted in the displacement and subsequent concentration of a substantial portion of longline fishing effort into certain areas in the southern and eastern Indian Ocean. It is therefore unlikely that catch and effort on blue shark will decline in these areas in the near future, and may result in localised depletion.

*Management advice.*A precautionary approach to the management of blue shark should be considered by the Commission, by ensuring that future catches do not exceed current catches. The stock should be closely monitored. Mechanisms need to be developed by the Commission to improve current statistics by encouraging CPCs to comply with their recording and reporting requirement on sharks, so as to better inform scientific advice.

*Management advice.*A precautionary approach to the management of blue shark should be considered by the Commission, by ensuring that future catches do not exceed current catches. The stock should be closely monitored. Mechanisms need to be developed by the Commission to improve current statistics by encouraging CPCs to comply with their recording and reporting requirement on sharks, so as to better inform scientific advice.

The following key points should be noted:
- **Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY)**: estimate for the whole Indian Ocean is unknown.
- **Reference points**: The Commission has not adopted reference points or harvest control rules for any shark species.
- **Main fishing gear** (2011–15): Coastal longline; longline targeting swordfish; longline (deep-freezing).
- **Main fleets** (2011–15): Indonesia; EU,Spain; Taiwan, China; Japan; EU,Portugal.

Fig. 1. Blue shark: Aggregated Indian Ocean stock assessment Kobe plot for the 2014 estimate based on a range of models explored with steepness = 0.5, and fits to CPUE series. Note that these are for different datasets, namely the IOTC DB and Trade based datasets (IOTC DB: left panel and TRADE DB: right panel). SS3: Stock Synthesis III; SRA: Stock Reduction Analysis; BSP: Bayesian State-Space Production Model.

Table 3a. Blue shark: Aggregated Indian Ocean assessment Kobe II Strategy Matrix. Probability (percentage) of violating the MSY-based reference points for nine constant catch projections using IOTC DB (average catch level from 2012–14 (31,759 t), ± 10%, ± 20%, ± 30% and ± 40%) projected for 3 and 10 years. Note: K2MSM projections were not run due to large uncertainty in catch estimates.

Reference point and projection timeframe	Alternative catch projections (relative to the average catch level from 2012–2014, 31,759 t) and probability (%) of violating MSY-based target reference points ($B_{targ} = B_{MSY}$; $F_{targ} = F_{MSY}$)								
	60% (19,055t)	**70%** (22,231 t)	**80%** (25,407 t)	**90%** (28,583 t)	**100%** (31,759 t)	**110%** (34,935 t)	**120%** (38,110 t)	**130%** (41,286 t)	**140%** (44,462 t)
$B_{2017} < B_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$F_{2017} > F_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$B_{2024} < B_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$F_{2024} > F_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Table 3b. Blue shark: Aggregated Indian Ocean assessment Kobe II Strategy Matrix. Probability (percentage) of violating the MSY-based reference points for nine constant catch projections using TRADE DB (average catch level from 2012–14 (134,212 t), ± 10%, ± 20%, ± 30% and ± 40%) projected for 3 and 10 years. Note: K2MSM projections were not run due to large uncertainty in catch estimates.

Reference point and projection timeframe	Alternative catch projections (relative to the average catch level from 2012–2014, 134,212 t) and probability (%) of violating MSY-based target reference points ($B_{targ} = B_{MSY}$; $F_{targ} = F_{MSY}$)								
	60% (80,527 t)	**70%** (93,948 t)	**80%** (107,369 t)	**90%** (120,790 t)	**100%** (134,212 t)	**110%** (147,663 t)	**120%** (161,054 t)	**130%** (174,475 t)	**140%** (187,896 t)
$B_{2017} < B_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$F_{2017} > F_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$B_{2024} < B_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
$F_{2024} > F_{MSY}$	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.